## SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S192531 B222214 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. VILLATORO (JUAN JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 28, 2011.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S196200 A125542 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. BUZA (MARK)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the First District Appellate Project is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S197348

ASHANTI (ASKIA SANKOFA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S197384

PEREZ (TOMAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

## S197526

JAMES (CURLEY E.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.